UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,506	02/18/2004	Rafail Zubok	SPINE 3.0-455	2911
	7590 01/13/200 /ID, LITTENBERG,	EXAMINER		
KRUMHOLZ & 600 SOUTH A	& MENTLIK		CUMBERLEDGE, JERRY L	
WESTFIELD, I	= '=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/781,506	ZUBOK ET AL.  Art Unit  3733  e]  e]  a,314,477).  N/A.  o if an agreement Also, Applicant's Also, App				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JERRY CUMBERLEDGE	3733				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Jerry Cumberledge</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Kevin Kocun</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 23 December 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,20 and 21</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hamada (US Pat. 6,425,920 B1); Marnay (US Pat. 5,314,477)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The actuator and its pushing function were discussed. Also, Applicant's representative brought co-pending cases to the examiner's attention. Further search and/or consideration will be required by the examiner upon receipt of claim amendments.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Jerry Cumberledge/						